

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,604	01/16/2001		David Edward Caldwell	CO2-2	5820
20808	7590	11/17/2003		EXAMINER	
BROWN &		•	KINDRED, ALFORD W		
400 M & T I 118 NORTH		-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ITHACA, N	Y 14850	ı	2172)/	
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003	· 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/761,604	SUNADA, MAKOTO					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alford W. Kindred	2172					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avfinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3 and 5-14</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) apprex app	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER					
	•						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the declaration of Prior Invention filed 10/22/03, would cause for further search and/or consideration by the examiner..